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Places I Never Meant to Be: A Personal View

By Judy Blume

ONE OF THE MOST BANNED WRITERS IN AMERICA
TELLS WHAT IT'S LIKE TO BE VILIFIED FOR TELLING THE TRUTH

When I was growing up I'd heard that if a movie or book was "Banned in Boston" everybody wanted to see it or read it right away. My older brother, for example, went to see such a movie — The Outlaw, starring Jane Russell — and I wasn't supposed to tell my mother. I begged him to share what he saw, but he wouldn't. I was intensely curious about the adult world and hated the secrets my parents, and now my brother, kept from me.

A few years later, when I was in 5th grade, my mother was reading a novel called A Rage to Live by John O'Hara, and for the first time (and, as it turned out, the only time) in my life, she told me I was never to look at that book, at least not until I was much older. Once I knew my mother didn't want me to read it, I figured it must be really interesting!

So, you can imagine how surprised and delighted I was when, as a junior in high school, I found John O'Hara's name on my reading list. Not a specific title by John O'Hara, but any title. I didn't waste a minute. I went down to the public library in Elizabeth, New Jersey, that afternoon — a place where I'd spent so many happy hours as a young child, I'd pasted a card pocket on the inside back cover of each book I owned — and looked for A Rage to Live. But I couldn't find it.

When I asked, the librarian told me that book was restricted. It was kept in a locked closet and I couldn't take it out without written permission from my parents.

Aside from my mother's one moment of fear, neither of my parents had ever told me what I could or could not read. They encouraged me to read widely. There were no "young adult" novels then. Serious books about teenagers were published as adult novels. It was my mother who handed me To Kill a Mockingbird and Anne Frank's Diary of a Young Girl, when they were first published.

By the time I was 12 I was browsing in the bookshelves flanking the fireplace in our living room where, in my quest to make sense of the world, I discovered J. D. Salinger's The Catcher in the Rye, fell in love with the romantic tragedies of Thomas Hardy and the Bronte sisters, and overidentified with Marjorie Morningstar.

But at the Elizabeth Public Library the librarian didn't care. "Get permission in writing," she told me. When I realized she was not going to let me check out A Rage to Live I was angry. I felt betrayed and held her responsible. It never occurred to me that it might not have been her choice.

At home I complained to my family and that evening my aunt, the principal of an elementary school, brought me her copy of A Rage to Live. I stayed up half the night reading the forbidden book. Yes, it was sexy, but the characters and their story were what kept me turning the pages. Finally, my curiosity (about that book, anyway) was satisfied. Instead of leading me astray, as my mother must have feared, it led me to read everything else I could find by the author.

What is censorship anyway?

All of which brings me to the question What is censorship? If you ask a dozen people you'll get 12 different answers. When I actually looked up the word in The Concise Columbia Encyclopedia I found this definition: "[T]he official restriction of any expression believed to threaten the political, social, or moral order." My thesaurus lists the following words that can be used in place of ban (as in book banning): Forbid. Prohibit. Restrict. But what do these words mean to the stories they choose to tell? And what do they mean to the books they choose to read?

I began to write when I was in my mid-20s. By then I was married with two small children and desperately in need of creative work. I wrote Are You There God? It's Me, Margaret right out of my own experiences and feelings when I was in 6th grade. Controversy wasn't on my mind. I wanted only to write what I knew to be true. I wanted to write the best, the most honest books I could, the kinds of books I would have liked to read when I was younger. If someone had told me then I would become one of the most banned writers in America, I'd have laughed.

When Margaret was published in 1970 I gave three copies to my children's elementary school but the books never
reached the shelves. The male principal decided on his own that they were inappropriate for elementary school readers because of the discussion of menstruation (never mind how many 5th- and 6th-grade girls already had their periods). Then one night the phone rang and a woman asked if I was the one who had written that book. When I replied that I was, she called me a communist and hung up. I never did figure out if she equated communism with breast development or religion.

In that decade I wrote 13 other books: 11 for young readers, one for teenagers, and one for adults. My publishers were protective of me and didn’t necessarily share negative comments about my work during those years. They believed if I didn’t know some individuals were upset by my books, I wouldn’t be intimidated.

Of course, they couldn’t keep the occasional anecdote from reaching me: the mother who admitted she’d cut two pages out of Then Again, Maybe I Won’t rather than allow her almost 13-year-old son to read about wet dreams. Or the young librarian who’d been instructed by her male principal to keep Deenie off the shelf because in the book, Deenie masturbates. “It would be different if it were about a boy,” he’d told her. “That would be normal.”

The stories go on and on but really, I wasn’t that concerned. There was no organized effort to ban my books or any other books, none that I knew of, anyway. The ’70s were a good decade for writers and readers. Many of us came of age during those years, writing from our heart and gut, finding editors and publishers who believed in us, who willingly took risks to help us find our audience. We were free to write about real kids in the real world. Kids with feelings and emotions, kids with real families, kids like we once were. And young readers gobbled up our books, hungrily for characters with whom they could identify, including my own daughter and son, who had become avid readers. No mother could have been more proud to see the tradition of family reading passed on to the next generation.

So now we had individual parents running into schools, waving books, demanding their removal—books they hadn’t read except for certain passages. Most often their objections had to do with language, sexuality, and something called “lack of moral tone.”

Those who were most active in trying to ban books came from the “religious right” but the impulse to censor spread like a contagious disease. Other parents, confused and uncertain, were happy to jump on the bandwagon. Book banning satisfied their need to feel in control of their children’s lives. Those who censored were easily frightened. They were afraid of exposing their children to ideas different from their own. Afraid to answer children’s questions or talk with them about sensitive subjects. And they were suspicious. They believed if kids liked a book, it must be dangerous.

Too few schools had policies in place enabling them to deal with challenged materials. So what happened? The domino effect. School administrators sent down the word: Anything that could be seen as controversial had to go. Often books were quietly removed from school libraries and classrooms or, if seen as potential troublemakers, were never purchased in the first place. These decisions were based not on what was best for the students, but what would not offend the censors.

Restricted shelves

I found myself at the center of the storm. My books were being challenged daily, often placed on restricted shelves (shades of Elizabeth, New Jersey, in 1955) and sometimes removed. A friend sent me a pamphlet called How to Rid Your Schools and Libraries of Judy Blume Books. Never once did the pamphlet suggest the books actually be read. Of course I wasn’t the only target across the country; the Sex Police and the Language Police were thumbing through books at record speed, looking for any words or phrases that, taken out of context, could be used as evidence against them.
Starring an Intellectual Freedom Fighter as Herself

AL: How would you assess the state of intellectual freedom in this country?

JUDY BLUME There’s positive news and negative news. The positive is that there seems to be a growing awareness that we do actually have a problem. Certainly librarians and writers have been aware of this growing threat to intellectual freedom for years, but the general public hasn’t. People used to say to me, “No, that doesn’t happen in this country, that’s ridiculous.” But in this year alone, I’ve heard from many students doing term papers on censorship—from junior high through graduate school—I believe people are waking up to the realities. There’s a new interest in protecting intellectual freedom. Of course, recognizing the facts is the first step. Becoming an activist is another. But I see this new awareness as a start.

The bad news is that we still have this problem. The contagious fear that can spread through a community like wildfire—as we saw in the recent Nappy Hair incident—is still affecting everyone from the writer to the eventual reader. I’ve just heard of a publisher who offered a young writer a contract, providing the young writer is willing to “tone down the sex” in her first novel.

AL: What kind of feedback do you get from young readers now, as opposed to say 10 or more years ago? Are their issues different?

BLUME They have the same concerns, though they tend to send e-mail messages today rather than “snail mail.” They’re still reading my early books as if I wrote them yesterday, just for them. I’m seeing fewer letters from kids asking for advice and more from kids who want to be writers. The painfully personal letters—letters talking about sexual abuse within the family or suicidal feelings—have decreased considerably. I don’t know if this is because those kids aren’t writing confessional letters, or if they know now—via television, magazines, books, and the Internet—that they aren’t the only ones who have experienced these feelings and problems. You can turn on any TV talk show now and get more than your fill of these topics.

BLUME Is that a bad thing?

BLUME Not necessarily. I’d like to think there are more and better school counselors now and kids are confiding in them, but I doubt that’s true. Maybe kids today can talk about the most personal subjects more freely because look... the characters in their favorite TV shows are talking about them. But that still leaves us with the question, what happens after you acknowledge your problem? Where do you find help? And we know, of course, that many kids still feel terribly alone and isolated and they’re not necessarily talking about it.

AL: Are you worried about the graphic sexual material that kids can access on the Internet?

BLUME I don’t have enough information to answer that question. I don’t know who’s browsing and at what age. I don’t know that 10-year-olds are out there surfing the Net. I have a 7-year-old grandson. Maybe I’ll know more in a few years. Maybe we all will.

AL: It’s a difficulty that librarians are having right now, keeping filters off computers in public libraries.

BLUME Let me tell you my own personal story with filters. A few months ago somebody was trying to shock me by sending foul language messages to my Web site. Once a message goes up, if it’s not marked private, it remains on the site and you can scroll through hundreds of messages. These particular messages were sent over a weekend and we didn’t see them until Monday morning. By then I’d received several messages from adults bringing them to our attention. Some suggested I use a filter. I felt caught. I felt angry to be put in such a position.

AL: And public libraries are being forced to make decisions about whether or not to use blocking software on the Internet.

BLUME And they’re terrible decisions to have to make. I don’t have the answer. I’m not going to filter, because someone asking for information on sexuality will be deprived of it when words like “breast” can be filtered out, you just can’t do it.

AL: We haven’t found any filters that work as well as a librarian yet.

BLUME That’s exactly the problem. I was going to say, we still need a human. The filter doesn’t have the brain, doesn’t have the ability to make decisions.

AL: You’re giving a talk at Indianapolis-Marion County Public Library tomorrow. Can we get a preview?

BLUME I’ll be presenting a show I call “My Life as a Writer.” My husband calls it “Show and Tell.” I use old slides to illustrate my anecdotes. I talk about how all fiction writers use their personal feelings and experiences to create the imaginative characters and situations in our books. This will be a very large audience of mixed ages. I find focusing on Starring Sally J. Freedman as Herself, my most autobiographical book, appeals to both parents and children. And, of course, I talk about Summer Sisters and show pictures of my best friend to whom the book is dedicated. She and I have been friends since 7th grade.
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Puberty became a dirty word, as if children who didn’t read about it wouldn’t know about it, and if they didn’t know about it, it would never happen.

The Moral Tone Brigade attacked Blubber (a story of victimization in the classroom) with a vengeance because, as they saw it, in this book evil goes unpunished. As if kids need to be hit over the head, as if they don’t get it without having the message spelled out for them.

I had letters from angry parents accusing me of ruining Christmas forever because of a chapter in Superfudge, called “Santa Who?” Some sent lists showing me how easily I could have substituted one word for another. Meanie for bitch, darn for damn, nasty for ass. More words taken out of context. A teacher wrote to say she blacked out offending words and passages with a felt-tip marker. Perhaps most shocking of all was a letter from a 9-year-old addressed to Judy Blume telling me I had no right to write about Jewish angels in Starring Sally J. Freedman as Herself.

My worst moment

My worst moment came when I was working with my editor on the manuscript of Tiger Eyes (the story of a 15-year-old girl, Davey, whose beloved father dies suddenly and violently). When we came to the scene in which Davey allows herself to feel again after months of numbness following her father’s death, I saw that a few lines alluding to masturbation had been circled. My editor put down his pencil and faced me. “We want this book to reach as many readers as possible, don’t we?” she asked.

I felt my face grow hot, my stomach clench. This was the same editor who had worked with me on Are You There God? It’s Me, Margaret. Then Again, Maybe I Won’t; Deenie; Blubber; Forever—always encouraging, always supportive. The scene was psychologically sound, he assured me, and delicately handled. But it also spelled trouble. I got the message. If you leave in those lines, the censors will come after this book. Librarians and teachers won’t buy it. Book clubs won’t take it. Everyone is too scared. The political climate has changed.

I tried to make a case for why that brief moment in Davey’s life was important. He asked me how important? Important enough to keep the book from reaching its audience? I wished myself not to give in to the tears of frustration and disappointment I felt coming. I thought about the ways a writer brings a character to life on the page, the same way an artist brings a face to life on canvas—through a series of brush strokes, each detail adding to the others, until we see the essence of the person. I floundered, uncertain. Ultimately, not strong enough or brave enough to defy the editor I trusted and respected, I caved in and took out those lines. I still remember how alone I felt at that moment.

What effect does this climate have on a writer? Chilling. It’s easy to become discouraged, to second-guess everything you write. There seemed to be no one to stand up to the censors. No group as organized as they were; none I knew of, anyway. I’ve never forgiven myself for caving in to editorial pressure based on fear, for playing into the hands of the censors. I knew then it was all over for me unless I took a stand. So I began to speak out about my experiences. And once I did, I found that I wasn’t as alone as I’d thought.

My life changed when I learned about the National Coalition Against Censorship (NCAC) (www.ncac.org) and met Leanne Katz, the tiny dynamo who was its first and longtime director. Leanne’s intelligence, her wit, her strong commitment to the First Amendment and helping those who were out on a limb trying to defend it, made her my hero. Every day she worked with the teachers, librarians, parents, and students caught in the cross fire. Many put themselves and their jobs on the line fighting for what they believed in.

In Panama City, Florida, junior high school teacher Gloria Pipkin’s award-winning English program was targeted by the censors for using young adult literature that was depressing, vulgar, and immoral, specifically I Am the Cheese by Robert Cormier and About David by Susan Beth Pfeffer.

A year later, when a new book selection policy was introduced forbidding vulgar, obscene, and sexually related materials, the school superintendent zealously applied it to remove more than 65 books, many of them classics, from the curriculum and classroom libraries. They included To Kill a Mockingbird, The Red Badge of Courage, The Great Gatsby, Wuthering Heights, and Of Mice and Men. Also banned were Shakespeare’s Hamlet, King Lear, The Merchant of Venice and Twelfth Night.

Gloria Pipkin fought a five-year battle, jeopardizing her job and personal safety (she and the reporter covering the story received death threats) to help reinstate the books. Eventually, the professional isolation as well as the watered-down curriculum led her to resign. She remains without a teaching position.

Claudia Johnson, Florida State University professor and parent, also defended classic books by Aristophanes and Chaucer against a censor who condemned them for promoting “women’s lib and pornography.” She went on to fight other battles—in defense of John Steinbeck’s Of Mice and Men, and a student performance of Lorraine Hansberry’s Raisin in the Sun.

English teacher Cecilia Lacks was fired by a high school in St. Louis for permitting her creative-writing students to express themselves in the language they heard and used outside of school every day. In the court case that followed, many of her students testified on their teacher’s behalf. Though she won her case, the decision was eventually reversed and at this time Lacks is still without a job.

Colorado English teacher Alfred Wilder was fired for teaching a classic film about fascism, Bernardo Bertolucci’s 1900.

And in Rib Lake, Wisconsin, guidance counselor Mike Dishnow was fired for writing critically of the board of education’s decision to ban my book Forever from the junior high school library. Ultimately he won a court settle-
ment, but by then his life had been turned upside down.

And these are just a few examples.

This obsession with banning books continues as we approach the year 2000. Today it is not only Sex, Swear Words, and Lack of Moral Tone—it is Evil, which, according to the censors, can be found lurking everywhere. Stories about Halloween, witches, and devils are all suspect for promoting Satanism. *Romeo and Juliet* is under fire for promoting suicide; Madeleine L'Engle's *A Wrinkle in Time*, for promoting New Age-ism. If the censors had their way it would be good-bye to Shakespeare as well as science fiction. There's not an *ism* you can think of that's not bringing some book to the battlefield.

What I worry about most is the loss to young people. If no one speaks out for them, if they don't speak out for themselves, all they'll get for required reading will be the most bland books available. And instead of finding the information they need at the library, instead of finding the novels that illuminate life, they will find only those materials to which nobody could possibly object.

Some people would like to rate books in schools and libraries the way they rate movies G, PG, R, X, or even more explicitly. But according to whose standards would the books be rated? I don't know about you, but I don't want anyone rating my books or the books my children or grandchildren choose to read. We can make our own decisions, thank you. Be wary of the censors' code words—*family friendly*, *family values*, *excellence in education*. As if the rest of us don't want excellence in education, as if we don't have our own family values, as if libraries haven't always been family-friendly places!

And the demands are not all coming from the religious right. No... the urge to decide not only what's right for their kids but for all kids has caught on with others across the political spectrum. Each year *Huckleberry Finn* is challenged and sometimes removed from the classroom because, to some, its language, which includes racial epithets, is offensive. Better to acknowledge the language, bring it out in the open, and discuss why the book remains important than to ban it. Teachers and parents can talk with their students and children about any book considered controversial.

I gave a friend's child one of my favorite picture books, *The Stupids Step Out* and was amazed when she said, "I'm sorry, but we can't accept that book. My children are not permitted to use that word. Ever. It should be changed to *The Sillies Step Out.*" I may not agree, but I have to respect this woman's right to keep that book from her child as long as she isn't trying to keep it from other people's children. Still, I can't help lamenting the lack of humor in her decision. *The Stupids Step Out* is a very funny book. Instead of banning it from her home, I wish she could have used it as an opportunity to talk with her child about why she felt the way she did, about why she never wanted to hear her child call anyone *stupid*. Even very young chil-
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dren can understand. So many adults are exhausting themselves worrying about other people corrupting their children with books, they’re turning kids off to reading instead of turning them on.

In this age of censorship I mourn the loss of books that will never be written, I mourn the voices that will be silenced—writers’ voices, teachers’ voices, students’ voices—and all because of fear. How many have resorted to self-censorship? How many are saying to themselves, “Nope . . . can’t write about that. Can’t teach that book. Can’t have that book in our collection. Can’t let my student write that editorial in the school paper.”

The new book I’m editing—a collection of original stories by censored writers—is dedicated to Leanne Katz to commemorate a life spent trying to prevent voices from being silenced. (Leanne died in 1997.) It is our way of thanking her and NCAC for their hard and valuable work, which continues today under the able direction of Joan Bertin and her small staff of dedicated coworkers. All the royalties from the sale of this book will go directly to NCAC to benefit their work. The authors to be included in the book are Norma Fox Mazur, Julius Lester, Rachel Vail, Katherine Paterson, Jacqueline Woodson, Harry Mazer, Walter Dean Myers, Susan Beth Pfeffer, David Klass, Paul Zindel, Chris Lynch, and Norma Klein.

Aside from being good storytellers, what these writers have in common is that somewhere along the way their work has been challenged by an individual or group wanting to forbid, prohibit, or restrict the books they have written. In some cases the censors have been successful; in others, sanity has prevailed. Following each story the writer shares his/her personal experiences and feelings about censorship. Remember, if you ask a dozen people what censorship means, you’ll get 12 different answers.

The bottom line is, censorship happens, often when you least expect it. It’s not just about the book you may want to read but about the book your classmate might want to read. It’s not just about teachers and librarians at other schools who might find themselves in job-threatening situations—it could happen at your school. Your fa-
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